

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/607,126	06/29/2000	David Moy	0064738-0040	8491	
31013	7590 01/06/2004		EXAM	INER	
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			HENDRICKSON, STUART L		
919 THIRD		WENT	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	, NY 10022		1754		
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				#
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	May	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Anics	<i>ک</i> رہ	Group Art Snit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet I	peneath the co	rrespondence addi	ess
Period for Reply	2			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILIN	G DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, exp</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> </ul>	within the statutory minir pire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	num of thirty (30) o m the mailing date	days will be considered to of this communication.	imely.
Status				
☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/18/03			<del></del>	·
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C</li> </ul>			the merits is closed	<b>i</b> in
Disposition of Claims				
及 Claim(s) 1-72, 27-53				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are w	ithdrawn from consi	deration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are a	llowed.	
© Claim(s) 1-22, 17-53		is/are re	ejected.	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		is/are o	bjected to.	
□ Claim(s)				election
Application Papers		require	ment.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	teview, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved	i.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.			
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
<ul> <li>☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde</li> <li>☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>☐ received.</li> </ul>	priority documents h	•		
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International Properties of the International Pro</li></ul>		Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	)	nterview Summ	ary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	10	Notice of Inform	al Patent Application	, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other		
Office A	ction Summary			
S. Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 9-97)			Part of Paper N	la

Application/Control Number: 09/607,126

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-22 and 24-53 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-75 of U.S. Patent No. 6221330.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because using CO as the gas is encompassed by the present claims. Thus, the application claims subject matter which overlaps that of the patent; In re Malagari.

Claims 1, 4-9, 12-14, 17, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sen et al.

This is the same rejection made in the paper of 4/8/03, incorporated herein by reference.

Claims 1, 4-9, 12-14, 17, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sen et al.

This is the same rejection made in the paper of 4/8/03, incorporated herein by reference.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Sen is deemed to teach how to make a 'hollow' swnt because no steps were taken to fill the tube; no differences are seen in the growth mechanism or product made, especially given the use of ferrocene by Sen and the recitation of ferrocene in the claims. The teachings of Sen do not constitute 'obvious to try' as they are specific and teach how to *selectively* obtain swnt. The claims are not limited to making pure swnt.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754